Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/815,966	SAHAIDA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stephen W. Smoot	2813
All Participants:	Status of Application: 41	
(1) Stephen W. Smoot, USPTO.	(3)	
(2) Kenneth A. Nelson, applicant's attorney.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>18 July 2007</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed:  Not applicable	·	
Claims discussed: Not applicable		•
Prior art documents discussed:  Not applicable		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septidirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septidid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief succession of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> </ul>	on. The examiner will provide a writte arate record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
	·	
Stephen W. Smoot		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/815,966

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Verified that the non-final rejection mailed to applicant on 06 December 2006 had been mailed to the wrong address. Verified that the address of record (customer number 59796 - INTEL CORPORATION, c/o INTELLEVATE, LLC, P.O. BOX 52050, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402) is the correct mailing address. Agreed to re-send the non-final rejection to the correct mailing address.